

The Bane of "Copy and Paste" in Health Research: An Ethical and Intellectual Crisis¹Kareem Y. A., ²Abiola T.¹Department of Clinical Services, Neuropsychiatric Hospital, Aro, Abeokuta; ²Department of Behavioural Sciences, University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital, Ilorin.**Corresponding author**

Kareem Y. A., Department of Clinical Services, Neuropsychiatric Hospital, Aro, Abeokuta. Ogun State.
Email: Mallamkay15@gmail.com

The integrity of health research, like all scientific research, is the bedrock upon which public trust and patient safety are built. Yet, this foundation is being eroded by a pervasive and persistent form of misconduct termed plagiarism. While the term itself is Latin, derived from "*plagiare*" (to abduct)¹, the sin of intellectual theft is universally condemned. Its history in Western academia is long, noted as far back as 1896 by Halsted.² However, the prohibition is even more profound, rooted in timeless principles that define intellectual and moral conduct.

The scale of this problem should alarm us all. Globally, studies suggest 6% to 23% of academic writing contains plagiarised material.³ The picture in some of our own contexts is even more sobering. A survey of African medical journals found 63% of articles had evidence of plagiarism.⁴ Closer to home, a study among Nigerian Resident Doctors found that 63% admitted to the act, yet a mere 3% routinely checked their work for it.⁵ This is not a peripheral issue; it is a crisis at our doorstep.

The manifestations are varied, from the blatant "copy and paste" (40% of cases) to the more subtle "find and replace" (25%) and theft of ideas (10%).⁶ The discussion and literature review sections are most often plundered¹, the very parts of a paper where original thought and synthesis are most critical. This is more than a technical violation, it is a fundamental betrayal of the scholarly ethos.

Beyond Western Codes: A Deeper Ethical Foundation

The common reasons given, pressure to publish, lack of knowledge, easy access to digital resources,¹ are understandable but not excusable. The consequences, including retraction and professional ruin,^{3,7} are well-known. But for a community that draws on rich intellectual and spiritual traditions, the argument against plagiarism must be deeper than fear of detection.

Long before modern copyright law, Islamic scholarship cultivated an uncompromising stance against intellectual theft. This was not framed as

"plagiarism," but as a composite sin violating core

principles:

- It is intellectual theft (*sariqah fikriyyah*), usurping what rightfully belongs to another.

This is forbidden in the Quran.⁸

- It is a lie and a deceit, misrepresenting one's own work and corrupting knowledge.
- It is a betrayal of trust (*khiyanat al-amanah*), as knowledge is a sacred trust to be transmitted with honesty.

The entire Islamic science of Hadith verification was built to preserve the integrity of knowledge from forgery and misattribution. A scholar's legacy depended on their scrupulous honesty. To present another's work as your own would have been an unthinkable breach, shattering their credibility. This historical context provides a powerful, culturally resonant foundation for why intellectual honesty is non-negotiable.

Forging a Path Forward

So, where do we go from here? The solutions require moving beyond mere compliance to cultivating a culture of integrity.

1. Re-frame the narrative: We must stop discussing plagiarism only as a "rule" and

start championing it as a core component of ethical professionalism. For many, it is a moral and spiritual duty, a deliberate value and not just an academic one.

2. Embed mentorship: Senior researchers and consultants must lead by example. Mentorship should explicitly include lessons on proper attribution, paraphrasing, and the insidious nature of self-plagiarism.
3. Leverage tools, but trust in character: Software like Turnitin⁹ are essential safety nets. However, they must be used as a first step for learning, not just a final step for policing. We must foster an environment where researchers are proud to submit originality reports, not fearful of them.
4. Implement institutional courage: Universities and hospitals must integrate clear, enforceable anti-plagiarism policies into their research governance. This includes promoting the excellent work being done locally and creating platforms for collaborative writing that emphasises shared credit.¹⁰

In conclusion, the fight against the "copy and paste" culture in health research is a fight for the soul of our scientific enterprise. Detection software is a tool, but it cannot instill virtue. The most potent vaccine against this malaise is a renewed commitment to integrity, reinforced by the powerful ethical frameworks many of us already hold dear. Let us be the generation that reaffirms that in research, as in all things, honesty is not just the best policy, it is the only one that builds a legacy of trust and genuine progress.

References

1. Mohammed RAA, Shaaban OM, Mahran DG, Attellawy HN, Makhlof A, Albasri A. Plagiarism in medical scientific research. *J Taibah Univ Med Sci.* 2015;10(1):6-11.
2. Halsted GB. Compliment or Plagiarism. *Science.* 1896;4(102):877-8.
3. Butler D. Journals step up plagiarism policing. *Nature.* 2010;466(7303):167.
4. Rohwer A, Wager E, Young T, Garner P. Plagiarism in research: a survey of African medical journals. *BMJ Open.* 2018;8(11):e024777.
5. Ede O, Nwadinigwe CU, Ogbonnaya IS, Eyichukwu GO, Eze CB, Katchy AU, et al. Common errors in proposals and dissertations and how to avoid them: A resident's guide. *Niger J Med.* 2020;29(3):533-9.
6. Lalwani N. Plagiarism in publications: all about being fair! *Indian J Radiol Imaging.* 2025 Jan 9;35(Suppl 1):S36-S45.
7. Usta U, Koçak Z. Authors and editors of scholarly journals should be aware of plagiarism and its consequences. *Balkan Med J.* 2021;38(6):321-3.
8. The Holy Quran. Surah An-Nisa. Verse 29.
9. Roach ES, Gospe SM, Ng YT, Sahin M. Trust but verify: the introduction of plagiarism detection software. *Pediatr Neurol.* 2014;50(4):287-8.
10. Kareem YA, Abiola T, Kareem MA, Sani NM, Adesina IO, Shuaib A, et al. Innovative Integrated Mentoring and Collaboration: A Key to Success in Modern Research and Publications. In: *Iman Medical Journal*-Volume 10. Vol. 2; 2024.